

Hendon Area Committee 16 March 2021

| Title | Members Items – Applications for Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Funding |
|-------------------------|---|
| Report of | Head of Governance |
| Wards | Various |
| Status | Public |
| Urgent | No |
| Key | No |
| Enclosures | Appendix 1 - Alders Close/Hale Lane Double Yellow Line Extension |
| Officer Contact Details | Abigail Lewis, Governance Service Manager / Pakeezah Rahman, Acting Governance Officer pakeezah.rahman@barnet.gov.uk 020 8359 6452 |

Summary

The report informs the Hendon Area Committee of Member's CIL Items and requests instructions from the Committee.

Officers Recommendations

- 1. That the Area Committee considers the requests as highlighted in section 1 of the report.
- 2. That the Area Committee decides whether it wishes to:
- (a) Agree the request and note the implications to the Committee's CIL funding budget.
- (b) Defer the decision for funding for further information; or

(c) Reject the application, giving reasons.

1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED

1.1 3 requests for funding from the Committee's allocated CIL budget have been raised as follows:

| 1. | Edgwarebury Lane Speed Survey | |
|---|---|--|
| Raised by (Councillor): | Sarah Wardle | |
| Ward: | Edgware | |
| Area Committee: | Hendon | |
| Member Request: | For a speed survey to take place at the northern part of Edgwarebury Lane in close proximity to Edgwarebury Park | |
| Funding Required (£): | £5,000 | |
| | Engineers have advised that a feasibility study to consider speed reduction measures past the park (which would include a speed survey) might be a consideration (cost will be approximately £5k). | |
| In consultation with (e.g. named Highways/Greenspaces Officer, and any comments which would assist the application): On (DATE): | The study would explore the area more widely to determine whether VAS or other measures might be appropriate, whether a 20mph could go past the two park entrances (even though it is not a school) and what additional measures would be needed to support that – and/or whether a pedestrian refuge could be located to assist with crossing either separately or in conjunction with speed reduction measures. | |
| | Due to the presence of a high number of driveways and mature trees, a zebra crossing would be very difficult to accommodate, so a feasibility study which includes a speed survey to explore speed reduction measures would be recommended. | |
| Any additional information (please list any documents here and email to relevant Governance Officer to circulate to the Committee): | | |

| 2. | Alders Close/Hale Lane Double Yellow Line Extension |
|--|---|
| Raised by (Councillor): | Elliot Simberg |
| Ward: | Hale |
| Area Committee: | Hendon |
| Member Request: | There are some yellow lines however vans park just past the lines and block the line of sight from vehicles coming out of Alders Road After discussions with parking design engineers, it is proposed to • Extend the DYL's on the Eastern side in Alders Close up to the bend. • Extend the DYL's in Hale Lane as we turn right from Alders close. Extend the DYL's past the gully gratings to outside 211 Hale Lane. |
| Funding Required (£): | £4,737 |
| In consultation with (e.g. named Highways/Greenspaces Officer, and any comments which would assist the application): On (DATE): | Officer Time for: Site investigation - £120 Finalise design - £120 Cllr liaison if required - £60 Drafting TMO - £260 Drafting of Consultation Letters / Street Notices / Letter Delivery and erection of street notices - £375 Monitoring of comments and opportunity to deal with potential objections by way of COD Report - £1,480 Advertising 1 - £1,000 Advertising 2 - £800 Making of the TMO - £180 Producing work orders / BOQ's - £120 Contractor Liaison for Implementation - £72 IMPLEMENTATION: AS NEW RATES are unknown due to contractor change–predicting cost of Implementation of DYLS is currently an approximation £150 for introduction of new DYL works |

| Any additional information (please list | Photos attached at Appendix 1. | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|
| any documents here and email to | | |
| relevant Governance Officer to circulate | | |
| to the Committee): | | |

| 3. | Parking solution |
|--|---|
| Raised by (Councillor): | Nagus Narenthira |
| Ward: | Colindale |
| Area Committee: | Hendon |
| Member Request: | After discussions with engineers, Propose to Introduce waiting restrictions at the Junction of Rushgrove Avenue and Crossway NW9 and also introduce a slightly longer length of restrictions on the right-hand side of Crossway NW9 up to the entrance of the park. |
| Funding Required (£): | £5,337 |
| In consultation with (e.g. named Highways/Greenspaces Officer, and any comments which would assist the application): On (DATE): | Site investigation - £270 Finalise design - £270 Cllr liaison if required - £60 Drafting TMO - £260 |
| | Drafting of Consultation Letters / Street Notices / Letter Delivery and erection of street notices - £375 |
| | Monitoring of comments and opportunity to deal with potential objections by way of COD Report - £1,480 |
| | Advertising 1 - £1,000 |
| | Advertising 2 - £800 |
| | Making of the TMO - £180 |
| | Producing work orders / BOQ's - £120 |
| | Contractor Liaison for Implementation - £72 |
| | IMPLEMENTATION: |
| | As NEW RATES are unknown due to contractor change – forecasting cost of Implementation of DYLS is currently an approximation |

| | £300 for introduction of new DYL works A contingency fee for the cost of a Vehicle Lifter £150 also required the location is heavily parked with vehicles so Line marking on site could be an issue during the implementation Phase |
|---|--|
| Any additional information (please list any documents here and email to relevant Governance Officer to circulate to the Committee): | This issue was ongoing for last 3 years. The car showrooms and vehicle hire company park about 70 cars in these roads. So, no parking for residents especially the ones who live in Rushgrove court who do not have their dedicated parking. I have contacted Highways many times in the past. The item was deferred from the last Hendon Residents' Forum on 6th January 2021. |

RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1.2 As identified above Members of the Council have requested that the Committee consider requests for CIL funding. In line with guidance for Members' route to support applications for CIL funding, the Committee is asked to determine the desired course of action.
- 1.3 CIL funding can be used to fund a wide range of infrastructure (as outlined in section 216(2) of the Planning Act 2008, and regulation 59, as amended) to support the development of a local area. The Act specifically names roads and transport, flood defences, schools and education facilities, medical facilities and recreational facilities; but is not restrictive. Therefore, the definition can extend to allow the levy to fund a very broad range of facilities provided they are 'infrastructure'.
- 1.4 Further examples are: play areas, parks and green spaces, cultural and sports facilities, district heating schemes, police stations and community safety facilities. The flexibility in how the funds can be applied is designed to give local areas the opportunity to choose the infrastructure they need to deliver their Local Plan.
- 1.5 Guidance states that the levy is intended to focus on the provision of new infrastructure and should not be used to remedy pre-existing deficiencies in infrastructure provision, unless those deficiencies will be made more severe by new development. Therefore, if funds are intended to be used to address existing deficiencies, it is recommended that funds are used to either increase the capacity of existing infrastructure or to repair failing existing infrastructure, where it is recognised as necessary to support development in the area.
- 1.6 Guidance states that local authorities must allocate at least 15% of levy receipts to spend on priorities that should be agreed with the local community in areas

where development is taking place. Therefore, a decision was made to honour the provision of a 15% contribution to each of the Council's Area Committee. This is capped at £150k per committee per year.

- 1.7 Applications relating to requests should be made to this Area Committee via Members' Items as outlined in the Council's Constitution. In line with guidance, applications submitted by Members should receive an initial assessment by an appropriate Officer, and should be accompanied by a recommendation (i.e. that the Committee should support or refuse the application).
- 1.8 Members should note that the committee has the power to discharge CILrelated environmental infrastructure projects and therefore has joint budget responsibility across the Area Committees which can be spent in 2018/19. Furthermore, it is noted that any request can be considered only by this Committee if it is in line with its terms of reference as contained in the Council's Constitution.

2. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED

2.1 Not applicable; Members of the Council are able to submit applications for non-CIL funding to the Area Committee Budgets via Members' Items. As a result, the Committee are requested to consider the Ward Members request and determine. Therefore, no other recommendation is provided from Officers.

3. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION

3.1 Post decision implementation depends on the decision taken by the Committee, and the assessing officer's recommendation.

4. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION

4.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance

4.1.1 The funding enables the Area Committee Budgets to contribute to Barnet's 2024 Corporate Plan objective to promote a pleasant, well maintained borough that we protect and invest in, by keeping the borough moving, including improvements to roads and pavements, getting the best of out of our parks by looking after and investing in our greenspaces and investing in community facilities to support a growing population.

4.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)

- 4.2.1 The Committee has an allocated budget for **Barnet Community** Infrastructure Levy (CIL) from which it can award funds to Area Committee grant applications. Any allocation of funds will be assessed by Officers.
- 4.2.2 The Committee is able to award funding of up to £25,000 per project for CIL **Funding.** Requests for funding must be in line with the Council's priorities which are outlined in the Corporate Plan 2019 2024.

4.3 **Social Value**

4.3.1 Requests for Area Committee budget funding provide an avenue for Members to give consideration to funding requests which may have added social value.

4.4 Legal and Constitutional References

- 4.4.1 Council Constitution, Article 7, Section 7.5 Responsibility for Functions details that the Area Committee is responsible for determining the allocation of Community Infrastructure Levy funding within the constituency up to a maximum of £25,000 per scheme/project in each case subject to sufficient of the budget being allocated to the Committee being unspent.
- 4.4.2 Council Constitution, Article 2 Members of the Council, Section 2.3 states any Member will be permitted to have one matter only (with no sub items) on the agenda for an Area Committee where the Member is sponsoring an application to an Area Committee Budget. Member's items sponsoring an application to the Area Committee Budget must be submitted 10 clear working days before the meeting. Items received after that time will only be dealt with at the meeting if the Chairman agrees they are urgent.

4.5 **Risk Management**

4.5.1 None in the context of this report.

4.6 **Equalities and Diversity**

4.6.1 Requests for Funding allow Members of a Committee to bring a wide range of issues to the attention of a Committee in accordance with the Council's Constitution. All of these issues must be considered for their equalities and diversity implications.

4.7 Corporate Parenting

4.7.1 None in the context of this report.

4.8 Consultation and Engagement

4.8.1 None in the context of this report.

4.9 Insight

4.9.1 None in the context of this report.

5. BACKGROUND PAPERS

5.1 Meeting of the Community Leadership Committee 8 March 2016 Area Committee Funding – Savings from non- Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) budgets:

http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s38413/Area%20Committee%20Funding%20Savings%20from%20non-

%20Community%20Infrastructure%20Levy%20CIL%20budgets.pdf

5.2 Review of Area Committees – operations and delegated budgets (24/06/2015): https://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/documents/s24009/Area%20Committees%20 https://s20Community%20Leadership%20Committee%2025%20June%202015%20 -%20FINAL.pdf

5.3 Hendon Residents Forum 06 January 2021

Agenda for Hendon Residents Forum on Wednesday 6th January, 2021, 6.00 pm (moderngov.co.uk)